



15th December 2023

New WHO-regime: Why dangerous for your SOVEREIGNTY? (for Democracy, for the Rule of Law, for Fundamental Rights, and for your Health?)

Ph. Kruse, Attorney at law,
8001 Zurich

kruse@kruse-law.ch
0041 79 388 05 88



Introduction (I)

Philipp Kruse, Attorney-at-law, LL.M. (Zurich/CH) Kruse | Law, 8001

- Swiss Lawyer since 1997 (commercial and tax law);
- since 2003: Tax Law;
- 2013-2016: US-Swiss Banking dispute | First Bank with NON-TARGET LETTER
**** CORONA *****
- **Since End of 2020: > 25 ordinary court proceedings** against harmful and unconstitutional Corona-mandates (in particular for children; students; restaurant owners; for Swiss pilots);
- [...]

Introduction (II)

- **Co-Founder of the *Swiss Lawyers' Committee***:=> *Swiss parliament*
- Member of Austrian Association: *Lawyers' for Fundamental Rights*;
- **Since Jan. 2022: mRNA-criminal complaint** (Swissmedic; submitted 14 July 2022; Updated version soon to be published);
- **Special focus on WHO since Jan. 2022**; EU-Parlament; many lectures + interviews; international cooperation
- Co-Founder of *International Alliance of Lawyers for Human Rights* (Juni 2023).

Legal drafting for political actors



© 6. November 2023  Rechtsanwältinnen für Grundrechte

Machtausbau der WHO und öffentliches Schweigen: Erneute Anfrage an unsere Politiker

Machtausbau der WHO und öffentliches Schweigen: Erneute Anfrage an unsere Politiker


Nachdem eine erste Anfrage von uns vom Außenministerium nur oberflächlich beantwortet wurde, sind wir erneut aktiv geworden. Derzeit liegt ein erweiterter Fragenkatalog zu den Themen WHO, IGV, Pandemievertrag und den damit zusammenhängenden Fragen für Österreich und seine Bevölkerung beim Außenministerium. Wir warten gespannt auf die Antwort. Hier lesen Sie, was wir bisher unternommen haben, was uns beschäftigt und was wir fragen.

For the Austrian Association of Lawyers for Fundamental Rights („Anwälte für Grundrechte“):

=> 2 Letters to the Austrian Government (lead author)

Source:

<https://www.afa-zone.at/allgemein/machtausbau-der-who-und-oeffentliches-schweigen-erneute-anfrage-an-unsere-politiker/>



For a German Association of Scientists („MWGFD“):

=> Letter to Members of the German Parliament (lead author)

Source:

<https://www.mwgfd.org/2023/07/pressemitteilung-2/>

<https://corona-complaint.ch/>

Videoaufzeichnung der Medienkonferenz



Covid Vaccine Damage

Complaint against Swissmedic

There is a suspicion that the approval of the novel mRNA vaccines violates the Therapeutic Products Act.
The presumption of innocence shall apply to all persons charged.

Press conference 14 Nov. 2022 (Zurich)

[Complaint against Swissmedic](#)

300 pages including supplements.

[READ NOW](#)

[Executive Summary](#)

Criminal complaint compact on 10 pages.

[READ NOW](#)

[Media](#)



Media conference and media review.

[WATCH NOW](#)

Topics:

- (1) Key Message?
- (2) Timeline
- (3) Problem with the Internat. Health Regulations (IHR)
- (4) Assessment from a Constitutional View
- (5) Financing of the WHO?
- (6) How to protect your Sovereignty?

(1) Key Message?



**Tedros A.
Ghebreyesus:**
*„No country will
cede any
sovereignty to
WHO.“*



Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyes... ✓ · 20h ...

No country will cede any sovereignty to @WHO. Countries will decide what the #PandemicAccord says, and countries will implement the accord in line with their own national laws. Any opposite claim is quite simply false.



156K mal angesehen

3'958 939 1'015

[Twitter,
23.03.2023]

Mr. Tedros A. Ghebreyesus: YOU ARE WRONG!


Amendments to the IHR

will authorize the WHO

to permanently **SUSPEND** our

Sovereignty


(Democracy; Rule of Law; Human Rights)



Threat for democracies

Amendments to the IHR will allow the WHO
to:

- Impose emergencies more often;
- cancel free information and free speech;
- Impose lock downs and experimental drugs;
- Deny any checks & balances;
- neutralize all mechanisms for protection of Human Rights (no „stop button“; no accountability)



CONSTITUTIONAL DUTY of any MP = Guardians of democracy



YOU ARE UNDER THE constitutional DUTY to GUARANTEE:

- that the founding principles and all essential elements of your Constitution (incl. HUMAN RIGHTS) will be effectively protected;
- even under the new regime of the New Pandemic Treaty and under the New Health Regulations.

Core elements of your Constitution

- 1. SOVEREIGNTY and self-determination of your country:**
Self-determined constitution - political system - prioritisation of public tasks according to own constitution (UN Charta Art. 1; CH Const. Art. 2 [1]) | **still guaranteed?**
- 2. Democratic principle - Self-determination of the People :**
= People's rights of participation, control and information;
undistorted formation of the will of the people for all fundamental decisions (UN Charta Art. 1; US Const.: "We the People..."; CH Const. Art. 2; 9; 34 II[1]; CH Crimin. Code 181; 258 [2]; etc.). | **still guaranteed?**
- 3. [...]**

Source:

[1] Swiss Constitution: <https://www.fedlex.admin.ch/eli/cc/1999/404/en>

[2] Swiss Criminal Code: https://www.fedlex.admin.ch/eli/cc/54/757_781_799/en

Core elements of your Constitution

3. **Effective system of Checks and Balances | still guaranteed?**

(instead of authoritarian leadership and control:

CH Const. Art. 140-142; 164 I; 169f.; 174; 191c)

4. **Rule of law (Principle of legality) and proportionality**

(instead of arbitrariness: CH Const.: 5; 9; 36) | **still guaranteed?**

5. [...]

Core elements of your Constitution

5. Right of free information and free speech

(instead of censorship: CH Const.: 17) | **still guaranteed?**

6. **Effective protection of human rights** (CH Const. Art. 7-36; UN Charta; various international conventions) | **still guaranteed?**




7. [Competence for health matters on regional level] | **still guaranteed?**

8. [etc.]

Key Message to any MP:

IT IS THE CONSTITUTIONAL BASIC DUTY
OF MEMBERS OF ANY democratic PARLIAMENT,
to **SAFEGUARD** the pillars of your
CONSTITUTION + DEMOCRACY

(2) Timeline



BONUS-FOLIE

WHO

PREAMBLE TO THE CONSTITUTION

The STATES parties to this Constitution declare, in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations, that the following principles are basic to the happiness, harmonious relations and security of all peoples:

Health is a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity.

The enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health is one of the fundamental rights of every human being without distinction of race, religion, political belief, economic or social condition.

The health of all peoples is fundamental to the attainment of peace and security and is dependent upon the fullest cooperation of individuals and States.

The achievement of any State in the promotion and protection of health is of value to all.

Unequal development in different countries in the promotion of health and control of disease, especially communicable disease, is a common danger.

Healthy development of the child is of basic importance; the ability to live harmoniously in a changing total environment is essential to such development.

The extension to all peoples of the benefits of medical, psychological, and related knowledge is essential to the fullest attainment of health.

Informed opinion and active cooperation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people.

Governments have a responsibility for the health of their peoples which can be fulfilled only by the provisions of adequate health and social measures.

ACCEPTING THESE PRINCIPLES, and for the purpose of cooperation among themselves and with others to promote and protect the health of all peoples, the contracting parties agree to the present Constitution and hereby establish the World Health Organization as a specialized agency within the terms of Article 57 of the Charter of the United Nations.



World Health Organization

SEVENTH MEETING OF THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL NEGOTIATING BODY TO DRAFT AND NEGOTIATE A WHO CONVENTION, AGREEMENT OR OTHER INTERNATIONAL INSTRUMENT ON PANDEMIC PREVENTION, PREPAREDNESS AND RESPONSE
Provisional agenda item 2

A/INB/7/3

30 October 2023

**Proposal for negotiating text
of the WHO Pandemic Agreement**

NEU

19/20 WHO-Verf.

WHO-Constitution (1948)

Source:

<https://apps.who.int/gb/bd/PDF/bd47/EN/constitution-en.pdf>

New Pandemic Agreement;
Latest Draft 30 Okt. 2023

Source:

https://apps.who.int/gb/inb/pdf_files/inb7/A_INB7_3-en.pdf

New Pandemic Treaty

- Independant new international treaty.
- 2/3-majority of the votes (194 Member States) at the World Health Assembly;
- Subject to domestic procedure for ratification according to your constitution;
- Must be ratified within 18 months after WHO-Assembly-vote (before 1st Dec. 2025; => Art. 19/20 WHO Constitution)

New Pandemic Treaty:= Ordinary International Agreement

Ratification needed!

CONSTITUTION OF WHO

7

Article 19

The Health Assembly shall have authority to adopt conventions or agreements with respect to any matter within the competence of the Organization. A two-thirds vote of the Health Assembly shall be required for the adoption of such conventions or agreements, which shall come into force for each Member when accepted by it in accordance with its constitutional processes.

Article 20

Each Member undertakes that it will, within eighteen months after the adoption by the Health Assembly of a convention or agreement, take action relative to the acceptance of such convention or agreement. Each Member shall notify the Director-General of the action taken, and if it does not accept such convention or agreement within the time limit, it will furnish a statement of the reasons for non-acceptance. In case of acceptance, each Member agrees to make an annual report to the Director-General in accordance with Chapter XIV.

WHO

PREAMBLE TO THE CONSTITUTION

The STATES parties to this Constitution declare, in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations, that the following principles are basic to the happiness, harmonious relations and security of all peoples:

Health is a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity.

The enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health is one of the fundamental rights of every human being without distinction of race, religion, political belief, economic or social condition.

The health of all peoples is fundamental to the attainment of peace and security and is dependent upon the fullest cooperation of individuals and States.

The achievement of any State in the promotion and protection of health is of value to all.

Unequal development in different countries in the promotion of health and control of disease, especially communicable disease, is a common danger.

Healthy development of the child is of basic importance; the ability to live harmoniously in a changing total environment is essential to such development.

The extension to all peoples of the benefits of medical, psychological, and related knowledge is essential to the fullest attainment of health.

Informed opinion and active cooperation on the part of the public are of the utmost importance in the improvement of the health of the people.


Governments have a responsibility for the health of their peoples which can be fulfilled only by the provisions of adequate health and social measures.

ACCEPTING THESE PRINCIPLES, and for the purpose of cooperation among themselves and with others to promote and protect the health of all peoples, the contracting parties agree to the present Constitution and hereby establish the World Health Organization as a specialized agency within the terms of Article 57 of the Charter of the United Nations.

Art. 22 WHO-Verf.



REVISION



Internat. Health Regulations (IHR 2005)

WHO-Constitution (1948)

Source: <https://apps.who.int/gb/bd/PDF/bd47/EN/constitution-en.pdf>

Latest public version (Nov. 2022): https://apps.who.int/gb/wgih/pdf_files/wgih1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

International Health Regulations (IHR)


- Amendment of an already existing international treaty.
- Due to Art. 22 WHO-Constitution, it will automatically come into force 12 months after final vote at World Health Assembly;
- Simple majority will be sufficient.
- NO DEMOCRATIC DEBATE;
- No domestic ratification procedure.
- **ONLY WAY OUT: EXPLICIT NOTE of REJECTION within 10 months after World Health Assembly**
- Otherwise: Government is legally bound.

IHR-Amendments:= “just technical WHO-Regulations”

No

Ratification

needed!



Article 21


The Health Assembly shall have authority to adopt regulations concerning:

- (a) sanitary and quarantine requirements and other procedures designed to prevent the international spread of disease;

Article 22

Regulations adopted pursuant to Article 21 shall come into force for all Members after due notice has been given of their adoption by the Health Assembly except for such Members as may notify the Director-General of rejection or reservations within the period stated in the notice.

WHO




Internat. Health Regulations
(IHR 2005)

WHO-Constitution (1948)

Source:
<https://apps.who.int/gb/bd/PDF/bd47/EN/constitution-en.pdf>

Latest public version (Nov. 2022):
https://apps.who.int/gb/wgih/pdf_files/wgih1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

WHO | 2 projects in parallel:



77 World Health Assembly
MAY 2024

Drafts +
Negotiations

Simple
majority

AUTOMATISM!

In force after
12 M: = 1st June 2025
Unless:
"Rejection" declared
within 10 M

New Pandemic
TREATY ("CA+")


WHO-Constitution
Art. 19/20

Drafts +
Negotiations

2/3rd
majority


RATIFICATION-
period for each
Member State:
18 M
until: Nov. 2025

(3) Most critical points of the IHR



Problems with the IHR? (selection)

Amended International
Health Regulations




- (1) **Self-authorisation by mere declaration of a Pandemic**
- (2) **WHO-recommendations, new: legally binding**
- (3) **Infocontrol, censorship and manipulation: new normal**
- (4) **No Checks & Balances**
- (5) **No accountability; full immunity; full tax exemption**
- (6) **Fundamental Rights and Human Dignity?**

Amendments to the IHR | since End 2022



World Health
Organization



Article-by-Article Compilation of Proposed Amendments to the International Health Regulations (2005) submitted in accordance with decision WHA75(9) (2022)

Selection of most critical changes

The Working Group on Amendments to the International Health Regulations (WGIHR) at its first meeting on 14–15 November 2022 decided that “the Secretariat shall also publish online an article-

Source:

Article-by-Article Compilation of Proposed Amendments to the IHR;

Link: https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

[https://www.who.int/teams/ihr/ihr-review-committees/review-committee-regarding-amendments-to-the-international-health-regulations-\(2005\)](https://www.who.int/teams/ihr/ihr-review-committees/review-committee-regarding-amendments-to-the-international-health-regulations-(2005))



**Article-by-Article Compilation of Proposed Amendments
to the International Health Regulations (2005) submitted
in accordance with decision WHA75(9) (2022)**

The Working Group on Amendments to the International Health Regulations (WGIHR) at its first meeting on 14–15 November 2022 decided that “the Secretariat shall also publish online an article-by-article compilation of the proposed amendments, as authorized by the submitting Member States, in the six official languages, without attribution of the proposals to the Member States proposing them.”¹

In furtherance of the WGIHR’s decision above, this document provides an article-by-article compilation of the proposals for amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR) (2005) submitted in accordance with decision WHA75(9) (2022).

Proposed amendments are presented as follows:

- ~~Strikethrough~~ = proposal to delete existing text
- **Underlined and bold** = proposal to add text
- (...): existing text in the IHR (2005) in relation to which no proposals for amendments were submitted and which is therefore omitted from the compilation


The compilation is not intended to replace the proposed amendments to the IHR (2005) in the original submission.

¹ Document A/WGIHR/1/5.

First publication of the
adjustment proposals of
Nov. 2022:

Problems with the IHR? (selection)

International
Health Regulations



- (1) Self-authorisation by mere „PHEIC-declaration“**
=> All potential threats - without limitations – can be a „PHEIC“
- (2) WHO-recommendations, new: binding**
=> Member States must enforce WHO-recommendations
- (3) Infocontrol, censorship and manipulation: new normal**
=> Manipulation of public opinion will be even stronger
(=End of science, end of justice, end of democracy)
- (4) No Checks & Balances**
=> No correction-mechanism, no effective quality control;
no A-A-R: civil society without remedies.
- (5) No accountability; full immunity; full tax exemption**
- (6) Fundamental Rights and Human Dignity?**

1. EMERGENCY LAW: Widest possible extension of mechanism for WHO's self-empowerment („PHEIC“)

Article 12 Determination of a public health emergency of international concern public health emergency of regional concern, or intermediate health alert

[1.] [...]

2. If the Director-General considers, based on an assessment under these Regulations, that a potential or actual public health emergency of international concern is occurring, the Director-General shall notify all States Parties and seek to consult with the State Party in whose territory the event arises regarding this preliminary determination and may, in accordance with the procedure set forth in Article 49, seek the views of the Committee established under Article 48 (hereinafter the “Emergency Committee”) . If the Director-General determines that the event constitutes a public health emergency of international concern, ~~and the State Party are in agreement regarding this determination,~~ the Director-General shall notify all the States Parties, in accordance with the procedure set forth in Article 49, seek the views of ~~the~~ the Committee established under Article 48 (hereinafter the “Emergency Committee”) on appropriate temporary recommendations.

JUST ONE SINGLE PERSON CAN DECLARE THE RULE of EMERGENCY!

1. EMERGENCY LAW: Widest possible extension of mechanism for WHO's self-empowerment („PHEIC“)

Article 12 Determination of a public health emergency of international concern public health emergency of regional concern, or intermediate health alert


[1.] [...]

Public
Health
Emergency of
International
Concern

JUST ONE SINGLE PERSON CAN DECLARE THE RULE of EMERGENCY!

1. EMERGENCY LAW: Widest possible extension of mechanism for WHO's self-empowerment („PHEIC“)

ANNEX 2
DECISION INSTRUMENT FOR THE ASSESSMENT AND NOTIFICATION OF EVENTS THAT MAY CONSTITUTE A PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY OF INTERNATIONAL CONCERN



Wide extension on all eventualities for justification of EMERGENCY

Who can stop an unnecessary / harmful „PHEIC“?



1. EMERGENCY LAW: Widest possible extension of mechanism for WHO's self-empowerment („PHEIC“)

Additional widening of self-empowerment due to concept: „ONE HEALTH“

Article 6 Notification

as any health measure implemented in response to those events. If the notification received by WHO involves the competency of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE), the UN Environment Programme (UNEP) or other relevant UN entities, WHO shall immediately notify the ~~IAEA~~, relevant national and UN entities.

s. also **New Pandemic Treaty**: Art. 5

1. EMERGENCY LAW: Widest possible extension of mechanism for WHO's self-empowerment („PHEIC“)

Additional widening by new concept: „ONE HEALTH“

s. also New Pandemic Treaty(*):

Article 5. One Health

1. The Parties **commit to promote and implement a One Health** approach for pandemic prevention, preparedness and response that is coherent, integrated, coordinated and collaborative among all relevant actors, with the application of, and in accordance with, national law.

2. The Parties shall promote and enhance synergies between multisectoral and transdisciplinary collaboration at the national level and cooperation at the international level, in order to identify and conduct risk assessments at the interface **between human, animal and environment ecosystems**, while recognizing their interdependence, and with applicable sharing of the benefits, per the terms of Article 12 herein.

(*) **Source:** https://apps.who.int/gb/inb/pdf_files/inb7/A_INB7_3-en.pdf

1. EMERGENCY LAW: Widest possible extension of mechanism for WHO's self-empowerment („PHEIC“)

Additional widening by new concept: „ONE HEALTH“

s. also **previous versions** of New Pandemic Treaty(* CA+) of 2nd June 2023:

Article 5. Strengthening pandemic prevention and preparedness through a **One Health approach**

4. The Parties commit to regularly assessing **One Health** capacities, in so far as they relate to pandemic prevention, preparedness, response and recovery of health systems, and to identifying gaps, policies and the funding needed to strengthen those capacities.

5. The Parties commit to strengthening synergies with other existing relevant instruments that address the drivers of pandemics, such as **climate change**, biodiversity loss, ecosystem degradation and increased risks at the human-animal-environment interface due to human activities.

Source:

https://apps.who.int/gb/inb/pdf_files/inb5/A_INB5_6-en.pdf

1. EMERGENCY LAW: Widest possible extension of mechanism for WHO's self-empowerment („PHEIC“)

UNIVERSITY
OF OSLO

Faculty of Medicine

Institute of Health and Society

← Research ← Centres ← Global Health ← Networks and Partners ← One Health Networks

Lancet One
Health
Commission

• Commissioners
and Secretariat

The Lancet One Health Commission

- Biodiversity
- Ecosystem
- Animals
- Climate Change
- Etc.




Critics on extension of reasons for WHO's PHEIC


Justification for a ‚PHEIC‘-EMERGENCY is extremely extended:

- **Based on ARBITRARINESS**
- under the sole discretion of WHO;
- With no possibility of independent verification;
- **NOBODY CONTROLS the WHO.**

**=> PERMANENT STATUS of EMERGENCY
to the detriment of people, economy and entire country.**



“PHEIC”: The first domino stone



Max. Collateral Damages;
+ NO HUMAN RIGHTS
PROTECTION

Recommendation of Vaccines
without safeguards and
No Checks & Balances

Lockdown; Digital Health
Certificate vs. ISOLATION

Monopoly of Information
Denial of free speech; Censorship
incl. License to produce fear.

„PHEIC“:
Declaration of emergency
= simple self-authorization

WHO: Fundamental legal framework

UNITED NATION CHARTER of 26 June 1946 ([source: here](#))

- Art. 1
*To develop friendly relations among nations based on **respect for the principle of equal rights and self-determination of peoples**, and to take other appropriate measures to strengthen universal peace.*
- *To achieve **international co-operation** in solving international problems of an economic, social, cultural, or humanitarian character, and in promoting and **encouraging respect for human rights and for fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language, or religion.***

Legal basis for WHO's leadership?

The WHO-Constitution does NOT provide any legal basis for the WHO:


- to suspend the ordinary rule of law of the Member States on arbitrary reasons,
- to replace it by it's own global rule of emergency, and thereby
- to define the legal status of the entire world, i.e.;

(= „self-authorization based on arbitrariness“).

[Art. 1; 2 (c); (d); (r) WHO Constitution]

Problems with the IHR? (selection)

Amended International
Health Regulations



- (1) **Self-authorisation by mere „PHEIC-declaration“**
=> All potential threats - without limitations – can be a „PHEIC“
- (2) **WHO-recommendations, new: binding**
=> Member States must enforce WHO-recommendations
- (3) **Infocontrol, censorship and manipulation: new normal**
=> Manipulation of public opinion will be even stronger
(=End of science, end of justice, end of democracy)
- (4) **No Checks & Balances**
=> No correction-mechanism, no effective quality control;
no A-A-R: civil society without remedies.
- (5) **No accountability; full immunity; full tax exemption**
- (6) **Fundamental Rights and Human Dignity?**

Past recommendations under COVID-19:

RT-PCR tests

(Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction test)

- Tests with the highest diagnostic accuracy
- Cost more
- Require sophisticated laboratory setting



Recommendations for national SARS-CoV-2 testing strategies and diagnostic capacities

Interim guidance

25 June 2021



Sources:

- 1.) [https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/342002/WHO-2019-nCoV-lab-testing-2021.1-eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y;](https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/342002/WHO-2019-nCoV-lab-testing-2021.1-eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y)
- 2.) <https://www.who.int/westernpacific/emergencies/covid-19/information/covid-19-testing>

Internat. Health Regulations (IGV) / (IHR)

"Imperative Recommendations" already under Covid-19

Achieving 70% COVID-19 Immunization Coverage by Mid-2022

The IAVG is therefore calling for:

Achievement of 70% coverage with COVID-19 vaccines in all countries as **a global imperative.**

Source:

<https://www.who.int/news/item/23-12-2021-achieving-70-covid-19-immunization-coverage-by-mid-2022>



2. WHO-Recommendations: LEGALLY BINDING

Article 1 Definitions

1. For the purposes of the International Health Regulations (hereinafter “the IHR” or “Regulations”):

(...)

“standing recommendation” means ~~non-binding~~ advice issued by WHO for specific ongoing public health risks pursuant to Article 16 regarding appropriate health measures for routine or periodic application needed to prevent or reduce the international spread of disease and minimize interference with international traffic;

“temporary recommendation” means ~~non-binding~~ advice issued by WHO pursuant to Article 15 for application on a time-limited, risk-specific basis, in response to a public health emergency of international concern, so as to prevent or reduce the international spread of disease and minimize interference with international traffic;

Recommendations will become legally binding.

Quelle:

Article-by-Article Compilation of Proposed Amendments to the IHR;

Link: https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

2. WHO-Recommendations: **LEGALLY BINDING**

NEW Article 13A WHO Led International Public Health Response

1. States Parties recognize WHO as the guidance and coordinating authority of international public health response during public health Emergency of International Concern and undertake to follow WHO's recommendations in their international public health response.

This is a legally binding promise!

Quelle:

Article-by-Article Compilation of Proposed Amendments to the IHR; NEW Art. 13A (S. 12);

Link: https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

2. WHO-Recommendations: LEGALLY BINDING

Article 42 Implementation of health measures

Health measures taken pursuant to these Regulations, **including the recommendations made under Article 15 and 16**, shall be initiated and completed without delay **by all State Parties**, and applied in a transparent, **equitable** and non-discriminatory manner. **State Parties shall also take measures to ensure Non-State Actors operating in their respective territories comply with such measures.**

Temporary Recommendations (PHEIC)
+ Permanent Recommendations

Quelle:

Article-by-Article Compilation of Proposed Amendments to the IHR;

Link: https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

2. WHO-Recommendations: **LEGALLY BOUNDING**

=> what kind of recommendations? See Art. 18 IHR

Article 18 Recommendations with respect to persons, baggage, cargo, containers, conveyances, goods and postal parcels

- review proof of medical examination and any laboratory analysis;
- require medical examinations;
- review proof of vaccination or other prophylaxis;
- require vaccination or other prophylaxis;
- place suspect persons under public health observation;
- implement quarantine or other health measures for suspect persons;
- implement isolation and treatment where necessary of affected persons;
- implement tracing of contacts of suspect or affected persons;
- refuse entry of suspect and affected persons;
- refuse entry of unaffected persons to affected areas; and
- implement exit screening and/or restrictions on persons from affected areas.

Source:

Article-by-Article Compilation of Proposed Amendments to the IHR; **Art. 18**

Link: https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

2. WHO-Recommendations: LEGALLY BINDING

Article 53A - Establishment of an Implementation Committee

The State Parties shall establish an Implementation Committee, comprising of all States Parties meeting annually, that shall be responsible for:

(a) Considering information submitted to it by WHO and States Parties relating to their respective obligations under these Regulations, including under Article 54 and through the IHR monitoring and Evaluation framework;

(b) Monitoring, advising on, and/or facilitating provision of technical assistance, logistical support and mobilization of financial resources for matters relating to implementation of the regulations with a view to assisting States Parties to comply with obligations under these Regulations, with regards to

[...]

Quelle:

Article-by-Article Compilation of Proposed Amendments to the IHR;

Link: https://apps.who.int/gb/wgih/pdf_files/wgih1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

2. WHO-Recommendations: **LEGALLY BINDING**

*NEW Chapter IV (Article 53 bis-quater): **The Compliance Committee***

53 bis Terms of reference and composition

[analog zum bereits zuvor zitierten Art. 53 A lit. (a) und (b)]

New Article 54 bis – Implementation⁴

1. The Health Assembly shall be responsible to oversee and promote the effective implementation of these Regulations. For that purpose, Parties shall meet every two years, in a dedicated segment during the regular annual session of the Health Assembly.

[...]

From these (and the rest of the new) IHR-provisions we conclude a sophisticated regime of „compliance + supervision“, incl. *blame and shame* (see 54 para. 1 and 4 IHR: „dashboard“.

World Health Assembly can issue sanctions in case of a Member State's lack of compliance.

Quelle:

Article-by-Article Compilation of Proposed Amendments to the IHR;

Link: https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

2. WHO-Recommendations: LEGALLY BINDING

Article 54 Reporting and review

1. States Parties and the Director-General shall report to the Health Assembly on the implementation of these Regulations as decided by the Health Assembly.
2. The Health Assembly shall periodically review the functioning of these Regulations. To that end it may request the advice of the Review Committee, through the Director-General. The first such review shall take place no later than five years after the entry into force of these Regulations.
3. WHO shall periodically conduct studies to review and evaluate the functioning of Annex 2. The first such review shall commence no later than one year after the entry into force of these Regulations. The results of such reviews shall be submitted to the Health Assembly for its consideration, as appropriate.

New 4. Apart from providing information to the State Parties and reporting to the Health Assembly in this Article, WHO shall maintain a webpage/ dashboard to provide the details of the activities carried out under the various provisions of these Regulations including Articles 5(3), 12, 13(5), 14, 15, 16, 18, 43, 44, 46, and 49.

Quelle:

Article-by-Article Compilation of Proposed Amendments to the IHR;

Link: https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

Legal basis for mandatory recommendations?

**The WHO-Constitution
does NOT provide any legal basis
for making recommendations
„legally binding“ for States!**
[=> Art. 1; 2 (c); (d); (r) WHO Constitution]

Global Digital Health Pass: Road to imprisonment + control



World Health Organization

Health Topics ▾

Countries ▾

Newsroom ▾

Emergencies ▾



The European Commission and WHO launch landmark digital health initiative to strengthen global health security

5 June 2023 | News release | Geneva/Brussels | Reading time: 3 min (815 words)

The World Health Organization (WHO) and European Commission have announced today the launch of a landmark digital health partnership.

In June 2023, WHO will take up the European Union (EU) system of digital COVID-19 certification to establish a global system that will help facilitate global mobility and protect

Quelle:

<https://www.who.int/news/item/05-06-2023-the-european-commission-and-who-launch-landmark-digital-health-initiative-to-strengthen-global-health-security>

Legal basis for mandatory recommendations?

**and there is NO WHO-competence
to eliminate all the due safety procedures**


And to act as global vaccine

- **Marketing agency**
- **Regulatory agency**

[Art. 1; 2 (c); (d); (r) WHO Constitution]

Internat. Health Regulations (IGV) / (IHR)

"Recommendations" to become legally binding




The screenshot shows the World Health Organization (WHO) website. The logo and name "World Health Organization" are in the top left. A navigation bar contains a home icon, "Health Topics", "Countries", "Newsroom", and "Emergency". Below the navigation bar is a breadcrumb trail: "Home / Diseases / Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) / COVID-19 vaccines / COVID-19 Vaccines Advice". The main heading is "COVID-19 advice for the public: Getting vaccinated", which is circled in red. A small text box on the right states "English version last updated on 13 April 2022."

English version last updated on 13 April 2022.

Take all COVID-19 vaccine doses recommended to you by your health authority as soon as it is your turn, including a booster dose if recommended.

Source: <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/covid-19-vaccines/advice>

WHO's Recommendations to become legally binding




World Health Organization (@WH · 19h · ...)

#COVID19 continues to spread and endanger people's lives. For those who never received a COVID-19 vaccine, at least one dose is recommended.

Consult your local health worker.

More information:
bit.ly/30ao4GI



COVID-19 is not over. It's still circulating.

Get vaccinated.


For adults who never received a COVID-19 vaccine, at least one dose is recommended.

WHO Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean and 9 others

<= This is from today!

Problems with the IHR? (selection)

Amended International
Health Regulations



- (1) **Self-authorisation by mere „PHEIC-declaration“**
=> All potential threats - without limitations – can be a „PHEIC“
- (2) **WHO-recommendations, new: binding**
=> Member States must enforce WHO-recommendations
- (3) **Infocontrol, censorship and manipulation: new normal**
=> Manipulation of public opinion will be even stronger
(=End of science, end of justice, end of democracy)
- (4) **No Checks & Balances**
=> No correction-mechanism, no effective quality control;
no A-A-R: civil society without remedies.
- (5) **No accountability; full immunity; full tax exemption**
- (6) **Fundamental Rights and Human Dignity?**

4. „Infodemics“ => Total Info-control

Article 44 Collaboration and assistance

1. States Parties shall ~~undertake to~~ collaborate with **and assist** each other, **in particular developing countries States Parties, upon request, to the extent possible,** in:

(h) (new) in countering the dissemination of false and unreliable information about public health events, preventive and anti-epidemic measures and activities in the media, social networks and other ways of disseminating such information

(i) (d) the formulation of proposed laws and other legal and administrative provisions for the implementation of these Regulations.

Quelle:

Article-by-Article Compilation of Proposed Amendments to the IHR;

Link: https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

4. „Infodemics“ => Total Info-control

Article 44 Collaboration and assistance

[1.] [...]]

2. WHO shall collaborate with **and promptly assist** States Parties, **in particular developing countries** upon request, ~~to the extent possible~~, in:

(e) (New) countering the dissemination of false and unreliable information about public health events, preventive and anti-epidemic measures and activities in the media, social networks and other ways of disseminating such information;

Quelle:

Article-by-Article Compilation of Proposed Amendments to the IHR;

Link: https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

4. „Infodemics“ => Total Info-control

Article 53A - Establishment of an Implementation Committee

The State Parties shall establish an Implementation Committee, comprising of all States Parties meeting annually, that shall be responsible for:

(c) Promote international cooperation and assistance to address concerns raised by WHO and States Parties regarding implementation of, and compliance with, obligations under these Regulations in accordance with Article 44;

(d) Submit an annual report to each Health Assembly

Quelle:

Article-by-Article Compilation of Proposed Amendments to the IHR;

Link: https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr1/WGIHR_Compilation-en.pdf

4. „Infodemics“ | also under New Pandemic Treaty

Article 18. Communication and public awareness

1. The Parties shall strengthen science, public health and pandemic literacy in the population, as well as access to information on pandemics and their effects and drivers, and combat false, misleading, misinformation or disinformation, including through effective international collaboration and cooperation as referred to in Article 16 herein.
2. The Parties shall, as appropriate, conduct research and inform policies on factors that hinder adherence to public health and social measures in a pandemic and trust in science and public health institutions.

Source:

Proposal for negotiating text of the WHO Pandemic Agreement; **Draft 30 October, 2023**

Link: https://apps.who.int/gb/inb/pdf_files/inb7/A_INB7_3-en.pdf

4. „Infodemics“ | also under New Pandemic Treaty

Article 18. Communication and public awareness

1. The Parties shall strengthen science, public health and pandemic literacy in the population, as well as access to information on pandemics and their effects and drivers, combat the infodemic, and tackle false, misleading, misinformation or disinformation, including through the promotion of international cooperation. In that regard, each Party shall:

(a) [...]

(b) conduct regular community outreach, social listening, and periodic analysis and consultations with civil society organizations and media outlets in order to identify the prevalence and profiles of misinformation, which will contribute to design communications and messaging strategies for the public to counteract misinformation, disinformation and false news, thereby strengthening public trust and promoting adherence to public health and social measures;

Source:

WHO convention, agreement or other international instrument on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response; **CA+; Draft 2 June 2023**

Link: https://apps.who.int/gb/inb/pdf_files/inb5/A_INB5_6-en.pdf

Legal basis for mandatory recommendations?

**The WHO-Constitution
does NOT provide any legal basis
for:
global info-monopoly
and info-control**

[Art. 1; 2 (c); (d); (r) WHO Constitution]

WHO's Disinformation Campaign

COVID-19 advice for the public: Getting vaccinated

English version last updated on 4 August 2023.

COVID-19 vaccines are safe.


Strict precautions are in place to help ensure the safety of all COVID-19 vaccines.

Before receiving validation from WHO and national regulatory agencies, COVID-19 vaccines must undergo rigorous testing in clinical trials to prove that they meet internationally agreed benchmarks for safety and efficacy.

Source:

<https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/covid-19-vaccines/advice>

WHO's Disinformation Campaign



The mRNA COVID-19 vaccines are as safe as other vaccines

The mRNA vaccines cannot change your DNA, they only deliver information. The vaccines teach your body how to make a protein that triggers an immune response.

World Health Organization
COVID-19 vaccine fact series

22/03/2022

The graphic features a yellow-to-orange gradient background with a red DNA double helix at the bottom. A white document with a bar chart is shown in the center-right. The text is in white and yellow. The WHO logo and 'COVID-19 vaccine fact series' are in the top right. The date '22/03/2022' is in the bottom right.



Source:

<https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/covid-19-vaccines/advice>

WHO's Disinformation Campaign

A graphic from the World Health Organization's COVID-19 vaccine fact series. It features a purple and pink background with a red curved line. The main text reads: "Getting vaccinated is a safer way for you to develop immunity against COVID-19 than getting infected and sick". Below this, a yellow dashed box contains the text: "COVID-19 is a life-threatening disease. Don't risk your health. Get vaccinated as soon as it's your turn." To the right, there are blue person icons and a photograph of a smiling man in a suit and glasses. The WHO logo and "World Health Organization" text are in the top right, and "COVID-19 vaccine fact series" is below it. The date "22/03/2022" is in the bottom right.

World Health Organization

COVID-19 vaccine fact series

Getting vaccinated is a safer way for you to develop immunity against COVID-19 than getting infected and sick

COVID-19 is a life-threatening disease. Don't risk your health. **Get vaccinated as soon as it's your turn.**

22/03/2022

Source:

<https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/covid-19-vaccines/advice>

Early risks signals: official SEC-reporting

SEC-filing:

Moderna:
June 30, 2020

🏠
🔒
sec.gov/Archives
⏪
📄
⋮

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
COMMISSION**
Washington, DC 20549


FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2020

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the transition period from _ to _
Commission File Number: 001-38753



Moderna, Inc.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
State or other jurisdiction of incorporation
or organization 61-1087208
ISS (Empower
Identification No.)

200 Technology Square
Cambridge, Massachusetts
Address of Principal Executive Offices 02139
City Code

(617) 714-6500
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Trading symbols	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common stock, par value \$0.001 per share	MRNA	The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. **Yes** **No**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). **Yes** **No**

enrollment in clinical trials, withdrawal by trial participants from trials, failure to achieve trial endpoints, additional time requirements for data analysis, data integrity issues, Biologics License Application, or BLA, or the equivalent application, discussions with the FDA or EMA, a regulatory request for additional nonclinical or clinical data, or safety formulation or manufacturing issues may lead to our inability to obtain sufficient funding; and

- the proprietary rights of others and their competing products and technologies that may prevent our mRNA medicines from being commercialized.

Currently, mRNA is considered a gene therapy product by the FDA. Unlike certain gene therapies that irreversibly alter cell DNA and could act as a source of side effects, mRNA-based medicines are designed to not irreversibly change cell DNA; however, side effects observed in gene therapy could negatively impact the perception of mRNA medicines despite the differences in mechanism. In addition, because no product in which mRNA is the primary active ingredient has been approved, the regulatory pathway for approval is uncertain. The number and design of the clinical trials and preclinical studies required for the approval of these types of medicines have not been established, may be different from those required for gene therapy products, or may require safety testing like gene therapy products. Moreover, the length of time necessary to complete clinical trials and to submit an application for marketing approval for a final decision by a regulatory authority varies significantly from one pharmaceutical product to the next, and may be difficult to predict.

****We have incurred significant losses since our inception and anticipate that we will continue to incur significant losses for the foreseeable future.***

DENIAL

Risk signals from Pfizer's own risk reports: PSUR Nr. 1

COVID-19 mRNA vaccine (nucleoside modified)
Periodic Safety Update Report (PSUR) 1

Reporting Period
19 December 2020 through 18 June 2021

PERIODIC SAFETY UPDATE REPORT #1 for

ACTIVE SUBSTANCE: COVID-19 mRNA vaccine (nucleoside modified) (BNT162b2)

- 327,827 case reports (individuals) containing 1,172,887 events (adverse events)
- Three times more cases reported for women than for men
- Highest number of reported cases in the 31-50 age group
- A third of all case reports were classified as serious
- 44% of case reports were classified with outcomes as either unknown or unresolved
- 84% of case reports had no history of comorbidities
- 5115 deaths occurred after vaccine was administered
- 46% of fatal outcome cases occurred in those without any comorbidities

Sources:

<https://soniaelijah.substack.com/p/eu-safety-report-on-pfizer-biontech>

Pfizer's own Risk Management Reports:

DENIAL

BNT162b2 + BNT162b2 BA.1 + BNT162b2 BA.4-5
Risk Management Plan

June 2023


**COMIRNATY, COMIRNATY ORIGINAL/OMICRON BA.1,
COMIRNATY ORIGINAL/OMICRON BA.4-5
(COVID-19 mRNA VACCINE)
RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN**

RMP Version number: 10.0

Source:

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/rmp-summary/comirnaty-epar-risk-management-plan_en.pdf

Pfizer's own Risk Management Reports:



BNT162b2 + BNT162b2 BA.1 + BNT162b2 BA.4-5
Risk Management Plan

June 2023


Table 74. List of Important Risks and Missing Information

Important identified risks	Myocarditis and Pericarditis
Important potential risks	None
Missing information	Use in pregnancy and while breast feeding
	Use in immunocompromised patients
	Use in frail patients with co-morbidities (e.g., chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [COPD], diabetes, chronic neurological disease, cardiovascular disorders)
	Use in patients with autoimmune or inflammatory disorders
	Interaction with other vaccines
	Long term safety data

Source:

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/rmp-summary/comirnaty-epar-risk-management-plan_en.pdf

WHO's denial of bad vaccine manufacturing practise



DENIAL

Seasonal flu shots:
Below 10 reports
 on SAE;
 (In 30 yrs: 1x 37
 SAE);


Moderna:
 200-300 SAE;

Prizer:
 200-600 SAE

Source:

Sasha Latypova, witness statement of 28 Dec. 2021 (High Court of Justice / USA)

WHO's denial of **official data bases (VAERS USA):**



58x more suspected **"fatality"** cases than with flu vaccine

31x more suspected cases of **"hospitalisation"** than with flu vaccine

Source:

<https://wiges.org/impfnebenwirkungen/> (based on: US Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System, VAERS).

DENIAL

Covid 19 vaccinations do more harm than good.

see also comprehensive evidence in criminal complaint against Swissmedic of 14.07.2022:


<https://corona-anzeige.ch/>

[Update coming soon]

WHO's denial of official data bases (EU):

EudraVigilance: Publication of European Medicine Agency (EMA) March 2022.

DENIAL



Source:

EudraVigilance-Data Base: <https://www.adrreports.eu/de/search.html>

Overview done by: Impfn Nebenwirkungen.net, «Todesfälle und schwerwiegende Nebenwirkungen nach Covid-19-Impfungen in Europa», 04.03.2022,

<https://www.impfn Nebenwirkungen.net/onepager.pdf> ;

WHO's denial of empirical studies:

DENIAL




Figure 2. Cumulative incidence of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) for study participants stratified by the number of COVID-19 vaccine doses previously received. Day 0 was 12 September 2022, the date the bivalent vaccine was first offered to employees. Point estimates and 95% confidence intervals are jittered along the x-axis to improve visibility.

The more frequently someone is vaccinated, the greater the risk of contracting Covid-19. [Hence the many cases of Long Covid.]

Source:

SHRESTHA, "Effectiveness of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Bivalent Vaccine," preprint dated Dec. 19, 2022, <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.12.17.22283625v1.full>.

WHO's denial of **empirical studies**:

Open Forum Infectious Diseases

MAJOR ARTICLE



Effectiveness of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Bivalent Vaccine

Nabin K. Shrestha,^{1,✉} Patrick C. Burke,² Amy S. Nowacki,^{3,✉} James F. Simon,⁴ Amanda Hagen,⁵ and Steven M. Gordon¹

¹Department of Infectious Diseases, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, ²Infection Prevention, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, ³Quantitative Health Sciences, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, ⁴Enterprise Business Intelligence, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, and ⁵Occupational Health, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

Background. The purpose of this study was to evaluate whether a bivalent coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine protects against COVID-19.

DENIAL


The more frequently someone is vaccinated, the greater the risk of contracting Covid-19. [Hence the many cases of Long Covid.]

Source:

SHRESTHA, "Effectiveness of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Bivalent Vaccine," Final print: June 2023 Open Forum Infectious Diseases, Vol. 10, Issue 6: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofad209> (Publ. 19 April 2023)

WHO's denial of official data bases (VAERS / USA):

VAERS: Shares per vaccination type in the sum of reported deaths from 1990 to June 16th, 2023 (USA only)



- COVID-19
- DiphTetPertPoli+ *
- Haemophilus
- Influenza
- Pneumococcal
- Hepatitis
- Rotavirus
- Varicella
- MMR+ **
- Unknown
- HPV
- other

Type	death	part
COVID-19	17,540	64.1%
DiphTetPertPoli+ *	2,461	9.0%
Haemophilus	1,592	5.8%
Influenza	1,371	5.0%
Pneumococcal	1,334	4.9%
Hepatitis	1,017	3.7%
Rotavirus	508	1.86%
Varicella	462	1.69%
MMR+ **	296	1.08%
Unknown	279	1.02%
HPV	254	0.93%
other	235	0.86%

* DiphTetPertPoli+ ... all diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis, polio and any combinations with these
 ** MMR+ ... all measles, mumps, rubella and all combinations with these

Risk comparison: Covid-19 vs. influenza vaccine
 Number of deaths per dose after 30 months of Covid-19 vaccination compared to 33 years of influenza vaccination:

	Deaths USA (VAERS)	Vaccine doses USA (CDC-dis.)	† / Mio doses
Covid-19 vaccin. 12/2020-06/2023	17,540	984,444,295	17.8
Influenza 1990 until June 2023	1,371	3,378,120,000	0.41
† after vaccination Covid : Influenza	43.9 : 1		

The risk of death from Covid-19 vaccination vs. influenza vaccination is 44 times higher



VAERS – <https://vaers.hhs.gov/data.html>
<https://www.cdc.gov/flu/prevent/vaccine-supply-historical.htm>
<https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#vaccination-states-jurisdictions>


Source: see on the chart

Risk-signals

(not exhaustive)

Despite warning signals constantly growing in number, Swissmedic continued to extend the authorisations further and further.

Risk




Source: Evidenzreport, Supplement 4, Criminal complaint against Swissmedic

¹N 130ff, ²N 129, ³N 176 ff, ⁴N 98 ff, ⁵N 62 ff, ⁶N 225 ff, ⁷N 225 ff, ⁸N 291, ⁹N 313 ff, ¹⁰N 200 ff, ¹¹321 ff, ¹²N 383, ¹³N 259 ff, ¹⁴N 550 ff, ¹⁵N 515 ff, ¹⁶N 487, 522 f.

Source: Kruse | Law / impf-anzeige.ch

Will new facts change WHO's position?

DENIAL?





The screenshot shows the website of the Texas Attorney General, Ken Paxton. The header includes the state seal and the text "KEN PAXTON ATTORNEY GENERAL of TEXAS". Navigation links for "Español", "About", "News", "Opinions", "Careers", and "Contact Us" are present, along with a search icon. The breadcrumb trail reads "HOME > NEWS > NEWS RELEASES > ATTORNEY GENERAL KEN PAXTON SUES PFIZER FOR MISREPRESENTING COVID-19 VACCINE EFFICACY AND CONSPIRING TO CENSOR PUBLIC DISCOURSE". The main content area features a date "November 30, 2023 | Press Release" and a large, bold title: "Attorney General Ken Paxton Sues Pfizer for Misrepresenting COVID-19 Vaccine Efficacy and Conspiring to Censor Public Discourse". Below the title, a paragraph states: "Texas Attorney General Ken Paxton has sued Pfizer, Inc., for unlawfully misrepresenting the effectiveness of the company's COVID-19 vaccine and attempting to censor public discussion of the product." A highlighted section contains the text: "Pfizer engaged in false, deceptive, and misleading acts and practices by making unsupported claims regarding the company's COVID-19 vaccine in violation of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act." Another highlighted section states: "The pharmaceutical company's widespread representation that its vaccine possessed 95% efficacy against infection was highly misleading. That metric represented a calculation of the so-called 'relative risk reduction' for vaccinated individuals in Pfizer's initial, two-month clinical trial results. FDA publications



Source:

<https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/news/releases/attorney-general-ken-paxton-sues-pfizer-misrepresenting-covid-19-vaccine-efficacy-and-conspiring>

EARLY TREATMENT PROTOCOLS – DENIED!



EARLY TREATMENT PROTOCOLS – DENIED!



4. „Infodemics“ | also under New Pandemic Treaty

Article 18. Communication and public awareness

1. The Parties shall strengthen science, public health and pandemic literacy in the population, as well as access to information on pandemics and their effects and drivers, and combat false, misleading, misinformation or disinformation, including through effective international collaboration and cooperation as referred to in Article 16 herein.
2. The Parties shall, as appropriate, conduct research and inform policies on factors that hinder adherence to public health and social measures in a pandemic and trust in science and public health institutions.

Source:


Proposal for negotiating text of the WHO Pandemic Agreement; **Draft 30 October, 2023**

Link: https://apps.who.int/gb/inb/pdf_files/inb7/A_INB7_3-en.pdf

What is the **basis** for:

- every good decision making?
- every **informed consent**?

Basis for all Informed Consent:



WHO'S INFODEMICS destroys INFORMED CONSENT:

NO SCIENCE **NO DEMOCRACY**
NO JURISDICTION
NO Informed Consent



~~OPEN INFORMATION~~

~~OPEN DEBATE~~

~~NO MANIPULATION~~

Mandatory international law (incl. Nuremberg Code)

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966; ICCPR)
Article 7

No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. **In particular, no one shall be subjected to medical or scientific experimentation without his or her voluntary consent.**

This provision applies **even "in time of public emergency which threatens the life of the nation and is officially proclaimed"** (as per Article 4, paragraphs 1 and 2 ICCPR).

Source::

<https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/international-covenant-civil-and-political-rights>

[https://treaties.un.org/doc/publication/unts/volume 999/volume-999-i-14668-english.pdf](https://treaties.un.org/doc/publication/unts/volume%20999/volume-999-i-14668-english.pdf)

UN: Pushing for Info-Control



Health Topics ▾

Countries ▾

Newsroom ▾

Emergencies ▾

Home / News / WHO welcomes historic commitment by world leaders for greater collaboration, governance and investment

WHO welcomes historic commitment by world leaders for greater collaboration, governance and investment to prevent, prepare for and respond to future pandemics


20 September 2023 | News release | Reading time: 3 min (865 words)

<https://www.who.int/news/item/20-09-2023-who-welcomes-historic-commitment-by-world-leaders-for-greater-collaboration--governance-and-investment-to-prevent--prepare-for-and-respond-to-future-pandemics>

UN General Assembly, 20 Sep. 2023

Pushing for Info-Control and counter „Vaccine-hesitancy“


“The first-ever head of state summit on pandemic prevention, preparedness and response is a historic milestone in the urgent drive to make all people of the world safer, and better protected from the devastating impacts of pandemics,” said Dr Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, WHO Director-General. “I welcome this commitment by

- Take measures to counter and address the negative impacts of health-related misinformation, disinformation, hate speech and stigmatization, especially on social media platforms, on people’s physical and mental health, including countering vaccine hesitancy in the context of pandemic prevention, preparedness and response
- 

UN: „Our Common Agenda“, 5 August 2021

United Nations


A/75/982



General Assembly

Distr.: General
5 August 2021

Original: English



OUR COMMON AGENDA

Report of
the Secretary-General

Seventy-fifth session
Agenda item 128 (a)
**Strengthening of the United Nations system:
strengthening of the United Nations system**

Our Common Agenda

Report of the Secretary-General

UN: Our Common Agenda, 5 August 2021



Summary

We are at an inflection point in history.


In our biggest shared test since the Second World War, humanity faces a stark and urgent choice: a breakdown or a breakthrough.

The coronavirus disease (COVID-19) is upending our world, threatening our health, destroying economies and livelihoods and deepening poverty and inequalities.

Conflicts continue to rage and worsen.

The disastrous effects of a changing climate – famine, floods, fires and extreme heat – threaten our very existence.

UN: Our Common Agenda, 5 August 2021



Third, **now is the time to end the “infodemic” plaguing our world** by defending a common, empirically backed consensus around facts, science and knowledge. The “war on science” must end. All policy and budget decisions should be backed by science and expertise, and I am calling for a global code of conduct that promotes integrity in public information.

UN: „We own the science.“

The image shows a YouTube video player interface. At the top left, there is a logo for the World Economic Forum and the text 'Tackling Disinformation #SDIM22 Sustainable Development Impact Meetings 2022'. At the top right, there is another World Economic Forum logo with the word 'Teilen' (Share) next to it. The main content area has a blue background with the text 'Welcome to' and 'Tackling Disinformation' in white. Below the title is a white horizontal line. At the bottom left, there is a button labeled 'WEITERE VIDEOS'. At the bottom right, there is a 'SUBSCRIBE' button. The video player controls at the bottom show a play button, a volume icon, and a progress bar with the text 'Session will begin shortly' and '4:12 / 54:20 • Kapitel'. There are also icons for a mobile device, settings, and the YouTube logo with the URL 'wefch/sdim22'.

Source:

<https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2022/09/tackling-disinformation-agenda-dialogues>

<https://norberthaering.de/en/news/melissa-fleming-wef/>

UN: „We own the science.“

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM Tackling Disinformation #SDIM22

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM Teilen

At a panel discussion in New York, the transcript and video of which were published by the World Economic Forum on 30 September, Melissa Fleming, Undersecretary-General for Communications at the UN in New York, said the short but very remarkable sentence:


“We own the science.” 30. Sept. 2022/WEF/UN

WEITERE VIDEOS Melissa Fleming Under-Secretary for Global Communications, United Nations

10:04 / 54:20 • Disinformation in COVID19

YouTube

UN: „We own the science.“



WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM Tackling Disinformation #SDIM22

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM Teilen

“We partnered with Google. For example, if you Google climate change, you will, at the top of your search, get all kinds of UN resources. We started this partnership when we were shocked to see that when we Googled climate change, we were getting incredibly distorted information right at the top. So we’re becoming much more proactive. You know, we own the science and we think that the world should know it and the platforms themselves also do. But again, it’s a huge, huge challenge that I think all sectors of society need to be very active in.”

30. Sept. 2022/WEF/UN

WEITERE VIDEOS **Melissa Fleming**
Under-Secretary for Global Communications, United Nations

SUBSCRIBE

10:04 / 54:20 • Disinformation in COVID19

YouTube



DEMOCRACY | GLOBAL AGENDA | PUBLIC HEALTH | WHO

WHO wants to make manipulation of public opinion mandatory for governments

By Norbert Häring • April 26, 2023

Source:

<https://childrenshealthdefense.eu/global-agenda/who-wants-to-make-manipulation-of-public-opinion-mandatory-for-governments/>

Executive Board: *Resolution re. Behavioural Science*



World Health
Organization

EXECUTIVE BOARD
152nd session
Agenda item 19

EB152/CONF./6
1 February 2023

Behavioural sciences for better health

**Draft decision proposed by Bangladesh, Brunei Darussalam, Jamaica,
Japan, Malaysia, Philippines, Qatar, Singapore, Slovakia, South
Africa, Thailand and United States of America**

Executive Board: Resolution re. Behavioural Science

The Executive Board, having considered the report of the Director-General on behavioural sciences for better health,¹

Decided to recommend to the Seventy-sixth World Health Assembly the adoption of the following resolution:

(PP6) Recognizing the interest among the Member States in strengthening the use of behavioural science in informing policy development and decision-making for public health and taking note of behavioural science-related initiatives on the national, regional and global level;

(PP8) Taking note of the United Nation's Secretary-General's Guidance Note on Behavioural Science that encourages United Nations agencies to invest in behavioural science and work in a connected and collaborative interagency community to realize its tremendous potential to achieve impact;²

Executive Board: Resolution re. Behavioural Science

(OP)1. URGES Member States¹ taking into account their national and subnational circumstances, contexts and priorities:

- (1) to acknowledge the role of behavioural science, through the provision of an improved understanding of individual behaviours, in the generation of evidence to inform health policies, public health activities and clinical practices, integrated with collective action through health in all policies, whole-of-government and whole-of-society approaches on economic, environmental and social determinants of health;
- (2) to identify opportunities to use behavioural science in developing and strengthening effective, tailored, equitable and human-centred health-related policies and functions across sectors, while ensuring commitment, capability and coordination across sectors in achieving the health-related Sustainable Development Goals;


Constitutional question:

“The only thing we have to fear, is fear itself...”
~FDR inauguration 1933



Problemes with the IHR? (selection)

Amended International
Health Regulations



- (1) **Self-authorisation by mere „PHEIC-declaration“**
=> All potential threats - without limitations – can be a „PHEIC“
- (2) **WHO-recommendations, new: binding**
=> Member States must enforce WHO-recommendations
- (3) **Infocontrol, censorship and manipulation: new normal**
=> Manipulation of public opinion will be even stronger
(=End of science, end of justice, end of democracy)
- (4) **No Checks & Balances**
=> No correction-mechanism, no effective quality control;
no A-A-R: civil society without remedies.
- (5) **No accountability; full immunity; full tax exemption**
- (6) **Fundamental Rights and Human Dignity?**

WHO pandemic flu NPI Guidelines, 2019: NO LOCKDOWN!




	PANDEMIC ^a	EPIDEMIC
<p>Not recommended in any circumstances</p>	<p>UV light Modifying humidity</p> <p>Contact tracing Quarantine of exposed individuals Entry and exit screening Border closure</p>	<p>UV light Modifying humidity</p> <p>Contact tracing Quarantine of exposed individuals Entry and exit screening Internal travel restrictions Border closure</p>

IGNORED

Isolation of sick individuals:

contact reduction measures including personal protective measures, voluntary isolation and antiviral therapy (117). However, the cost-effectiveness of isolation alone was unclear. Direct costs might have a disproportionate impact on low-income groups, although the impact was considered moderate, and was mainly related to employment losses through people staying at home for 7–10 days (125, 127). Isolating patients may also increase the workload of health care workers or family members. The implementation of case isolation would involve a relatively large amount of resources.

WHO's denial of reality: **No quality control whatsoever!**



IGNORED

Seasonal flu shots:
Below 10 reports
 on SAE;
 (In 30 yrs: 1x 37
 SAE);

Moderna:
 200-300 SAE;

Prizer:
 200-600 SAE

Source:

Sasha Latypova, witness statement of 28 Dec. 2021 (High Court of Justice / USA)

CONCLUSION:

WHO does do more harm than good.

WHO's operations are based on
systematic denial of facts.

Why did WHO never go to the source of the problem:

=> Identify the true **ORIGINE** of SARS-CoV-2!

=> **Stop** the pandemic profiteering with dangerous „vaccines“!

=> Protect human health from dangerous technologies and from engineered pandemics.

WHO DENIAL of it's own CONSTITUTION

CONSTITUTION OF THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION¹

THE STATES Parties to this Constitution declare, in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations, that the following principles are basic to the happiness, harmonious relations and security of all peoples:

Health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity.

Article 1


The objective of the World Health Organization (hereinafter called the Organization) shall be the attainment by all peoples of the highest possible level of health.

No Mechanism to control + correct WHO:

There is no effective PROTECTION for
INDIVIDUALS and for CIVIL SOCIETY

Problemes with the IHR? (selection)

Amended International
Health Regulations



- (1) **Self-authorisation by mere „PHEIC-declaration“**
=> All potential threats - without limitations – can be a „PHEIC“
- (2) **WHO-recommendations, new: binding**
=> Member States must enforce WHO-recommendations
- (3) **Infocontrol, censorship and manipulation: new normal**
=> Manipulation of public opinion will be even stronger
(=End of science, end of justice, end of democracy)
- (4) **No Checks & Balances**
=> No correction-mechanism, no effective quality control;
no A-A-R: civil society without remedies.
- (5) No accountability; full immunity; full tax exemption**
- (6) **Fundamental Rights and Human Dignity?**

WHO operating outside it's own constitution – and now?

How can we hold the WHO responsible,
if it is protected by full diplomatic IMMUNITY?

WHO'S IMMUNITY:= Absolute obstacle to justice?

Article 66

The Organization shall enjoy in the territory of each Member such legal capacity as may be necessary for the fulfilment of its objective and for the exercise of its functions.


Article 67

(a) The Organization shall enjoy in the territory of each Member such privileges and immunities as may be necessary for the fulfilment of its objective and for the exercise of its functions.

(b) Representatives of Members, persons designated to serve on the Board and technical and administrative personnel of the Organization shall similarly enjoy such privileges and immunities as are necessary for the independent exercise of their functions in connection with the Organization.

Problemes with the IHR? (selection)

Amended International
Health Regulations



- (1) **Self-authorisation by mere „PHEIC-declaration“**
=> All potential threats - without limitations – can be a „PHEIC“
- (2) **WHO-recommendations, new: binding**
=> Member States must enforce WHO-recommendations
- (3) **Infocontrol, censorship and manipulation: new normal**
=> Manipulation of public opinion will be even stronger
(=End of science, end of justice, end of democracy)
- (4) **No Checks & Balances**
=> No correction-mechanism, no effective quality control;
no A-A-R: civil society without remedies.
- (5) **No accountability; full immunity; full tax exemption**
- (6) **Fundamental Rights and Human Dignity?**

Who can stop an unnecessary / harmful „PHEIC“?



Internat. Health Regulations (IGV) / (IHR)

Criticism of Art. 1: WHO "**Imperative Recommendations**" on Covid-19

WHO does NOT want to learn:

**Achieving 70% COVID-19
Immunization Coverage by
Mid-2022**

The IAVG is therefore calling for:

Achievement of 70% coverage with COVID-19 vaccines in all countries as **a global imperative.**

Source:

<https://www.who.int/news/item/23-12-2021-achieving-70-covid-19-immunization-coverage-by-mid-2022>



WHO'S INFODEMICS destroys INFORMED CONSENT:

NO SCIENCE **NO DEMOCRACY**
NO JURISDICTION
NO Informed Consent



~~OPEN INFORMATION~~

~~OPEN DEBATE~~

~~NO MANIPULATION~~

6. Fundamental Rights and Human Dignity?

Article 3 Principles

1. The implementation of these Regulations shall be with full respect for the dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms of persons based on the principles of equity, inclusivity, coherence and in accordance with their common but differentiated responsibilities of the States Parties, taking into consideration their social and economic development.

(...)

2 bis. The States Parties shall develop and maintain capacities to implement the Regulations in accordance with their Common But Differentiate Responsibilities and Respective Capabilities (CBDR-RC), availability of international financial assistance and shared technological resources, and in this regard, primary preference shall be given to the establishment of functioning public health systems resilient to public health emergencies.

Founding principles of the UN:

ALWAYS RESPECT AND
PROTECT:

HUMAN DIGNITY and
FUNDAMENTAL HUMAN RIGHTS!



Universal Declaration of Human Rights

proclaimed by the United Nations
General Assembly in Paris
on 10 December 1948

Source:
<https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights>

Article 1

All human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights. They are endowed with reason and conscience and should act towards one another in a spirit of brotherhood.

Article 2

Everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth in this Declaration, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status. Furthermore, no distinction shall be made on the basis of the political, jurisdictional or international status of the country or territory to which a person belongs, whether it be independent, trust, non-self-governing or under any other limitation of sovereignty.

Article 3

Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person.

Article 4

No one shall be held in slavery or servitude; slavery and the slave trade shall be prohibited in all their forms.

Article 5

No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

Article 6

Everyone has the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law.

Founding principles of the UN:

... and NEVER AGAIN
IMPOSE

ANY EXPERIMENTS
ON HUMANS!

Mandatory international law (incl. Nuremberg Code)

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966; ICCPR)
Article 7

No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. **In particular, no one shall be subjected to medical or scientific experimentation without his or her voluntary consent.**

This provision applies **even "in time of public emergency which threatens the life of the nation and is officially proclaimed"** (as per Article 4, paragraphs 1 and 2 ICCPR).

Source::


<https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/international-covenant-civil-and-political-rights>

[https://treaties.un.org/doc/publication/unts/volume 999/volume-999-i-14668-english.pdf](https://treaties.un.org/doc/publication/unts/volume%20999/volume-999-i-14668-english.pdf)

WHO | 2 projects in parallel:

77 World Health Assembly
MAY 2024

AUTOMATISM!



Drafts +
Negotiations

Simple
majority

In force after
12 M: = 1st June 2025
Unless:
"Rejection" declared
within 10 M

New Pandemic
TREATY ("CA+")

WHO-Constitution
Art. 19/20

Drafts +
Negotiations

2/3rd
majority


RATIFICATION-
period for each
Member State:
18 M

until: Nov. 2025

Key concepts

IHR
Amendments

WHO CA+
(Treaty)




- 1.) **Legally binding authority of WHO:** the same risk-assessment, solutions and standards apply for all;
- 2.) **One Health** (health-threat from animals; climate change; environment);
Extending the factual situations that might justify a „PHEIC“;
Extending the scope of prevention measures;
- 3.) **EQUITY:** all countries shall „have access“ and use the same products (incl. IP-transfer; financial support);
- 4.) ...

Key concepts




IHR
Amendments

WHO CA+
(Treaty)



- 4.) **Information-Control and Censorship**
 - 5.) Enforced „**strengthening core capacities**“ (production of analytics; surveillance; drugs etc.)
 - 6.) **Electronic total surveillance** (Health ID) and global data sharing re. bio-surveillance (at all times)
 - 7.) **Authoritarian top-down approach WITH NO MEACHNISM of CHECKS and BALANCES**
 - 8.) **NO ACCOUNTABILITY** - full IMMUNITY and tax exemption.
- WHO will obtain wide EXECUTIVE and LEGISLATIVE POWERS.**

(4) Legal Assessment



WHO:= New regime to suspend democracy and sovereignty

**Max. Collateral Damages;
+ NO HUMAN RIGHTS
PROTECTION**

**Recommendation of Vaccines
without safeguards and
No Checks & Balances**

**Lockdown; Digital Health
Certificate vs. ISOLATION**

Monopoly of Information
Denial of free speech; Censorship
incl. License to produce fear.


**„PHEIC“:
Declaration of emergency
= simple self-authorization**

BREAKING NEWS

WHO:= New regime to suspend democracy and sovereignty

Justification for an EMERGENCY- status is extremely extended, without any standards:

- **Licence to self-authorization based on ARBITRARINESS**
- in the sole discretion of WHO;
- With no possibility of independent verification;
- **NOBODY CONTROLS the WHO.**



=> High risk for PERMANENT STATUS of fake EMERGENCIES. No protection against TOTALITRIAN SYSTEM with lockdowns isolation, vaccination etc. to the detriment of people and country.

Core elements of your Constitution: in DANGER

- 1. SOVEREIGNTY and self-determination of your country:**
Self-determined constitution - political system - prioritisation of public tasks according to own constitution (UN Charta Art. 1) | **suspendable!**
- 2. Democratic principle - Self-determination of the People :**
= People's rights of participation, control and information;
undistorted formation of the will of the people for all fundamental decisions (UN Charta Art. 1; "We the People"; CH Const 9; 34 II[1]; CH Crimin. Code 181; 258; etc.). | **suspendable!**
- 3. [...]**

Source:

[1] Swiss Constitution <https://www.fedlex.admin.ch/eli/cc/1999/404/en>

Core elements of your Constitution: in DANGER

3. **Effective system of Checks and Balances | suspendable!**

(instead of authoritarian leadership and control:

CH Const.: 140-142; 164 I; 169f.; 174; 191c)

4. **Rule of law (Principle of legality) and proportionality**

(instead of arbitrariness: CH Const.: 5; 9; 36) | **suspendable!**

5. [...]

Core elements of your Constitution: in DANGER

5. **Right of free information and free speech**
(instead of censorship: CH Const.: 17) | **suspendable!**
6. **Effective protection of human rights** (CH Const. Art. 7-36; UN Charta; various international conventions) | **suspendable!**
7. [Competence for health matters on regional level]
| **suspendable!**
8. [etc.]

TRANSFER of POWERS

Transfer of control on [1.] – [6.] (above):

=

**Transfer of control on all key elements of
your constitution + your democracy**

=

Transfer of Sovereignty

Quod erat demonstrandum

Threat to our Sovereignty and Democracy, because:

Under the regime of the New IHR
(and under the new Pandemic Treaty)

your Country cannot safeguard anymore

**the founding principles
and all essential elements**

of your Constitution
(incl. HUMAN RIGHTS).

TRANSFER of POWERS: = ULTRA VIRES ACT

The Government cannot
transfer more powers
(to the WHO)


than it is granted from
its own constitution.

TRANSFER of POWERS:= ULTRA VIRES ACT

=

Permanent + fundamental change
of the architecture of power

= FUNDAMENTAL CHANGE of
YOUR CONSTITUTION:



=> the respective procedural RULES
of your Constitution must be observed first!

TRANSFER of POWERS:= ULTRA VIRES ACT



+ **ALSO:** New IHR in conflict with the WHO-Constitution:

The WHO-Constitution does not provide the WHO the competence:

- (i.) to impose emergency status on all countries any time;**
- (ii.) to allow WHO to define the sole truth and impose censorship;**
- (iii.) to shift from „assisting“ to „commanding“ Member States.**
- (i.-iii.) on a permanent basis.**

=> see: Art. 1; 2 (c); (d); (r) WHO Constitution

+ **ALSO:** New IHR in conflict with the WHO-Constitution:

The WHO-Constitution would have to be modified first:

- (i.) to authorize WHO to impose emergency on all countries any time;**
- (ii.) to allow WHO to define the sole truth and impose censorship;**
- (iii.) to shift from „assisting“ to „commanding“ Member States.**
- (i.-iii.) on a permanent basis.**

=> see: Art. 73 WHO Constitution:

6 months ahead of WHA (= 1st of Nov. 2023 for May 2024); WHA: 2/3-majority; domestic ratification.

BOTTOMLINE:



**CONSTITUTIONAL ORDER:=
Based always on
consent of the people**

Is the public INFORMED?

Are we asked for our CONSENT?

(5) Who controls the WHO?

The mother of all questions:

Who finances (controls) the WHO?



How WHO is funded

Source: <https://www.who.int/about/funding>



Who is in control of the WHO?

ORDINARY Contributions (“Assessed Contributions”)

Budget-Period 2020/21 16% (s. WHO-Daily Update 24. Mai 2022 [WHO-source 1])

Currently: “less than 20% of total budget” [WHO-source 2]

VOLUNTARY CONTRIBUTIONS:

over 80% [WHO-source 2]

The remainder of WHO’s financing is in the form of **voluntary contributions (VC)**, largely from Member States as well as from other United Nations organizations, intergovernmental organizations, philanthropic foundations, the private sector, and other sources.

Source:

[1] <https://www.who.int/news/item/24-05-2022-daily-update---24-may-2022>

[2] <https://www.who.int/about/funding>

Who is in control of the WHO?

**Ordinary
Contributions**
of the 194 WHO-
Member States:
<20%

Voluntary Contributions:
>80%

30%

Who is in control of the WHO?

Voluntary Contributions:
>80%

4.1%

95.9% (7.9% Thematic
88% Specified)


80%

Voluntary Contributions
without conditions:
>3.5%

Voluntary contributions with conditions:
>76.5%

WHO are these SPONSORS?

Who is in control of the WHO?



Source: <https://open.who.int/2022-23/contributors/contributor>

Who is in control of the WHO?

Voluntary contributions - Specified

Shows the total funds available in this biennium and are exclusive of Programme Supports Costs.

Germany	952,843K
Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation	592,277K
United States of America	447,837K
GAVI Alliance	413,190K
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	367,093K
European Commission	309,580K
World Bank	158,134K
Rotary International	151,550K

**Bill Gates directly and indirectly:
1'005'467 K = 1.005 Mrd USD**

Source: <https://open.who.int/2020-21/contributors/overview/vcs>

Who is in control of the WHO?

DAVOS WEF

Bill Gates: My 'best investment' turned \$10 billion into \$200 billion worth of economic benefit

PUBLISHED WED, JAN 23 2019 7:13 AM EST | UPDATED WED, JAN 23 2019 10:28 AM EST



Matthew J. Belvedere
@MATT_BELVEDERE

SHARE    

KEY POINTS

- Investing in global health organizations aimed at increasing access to vaccines creates a 20-to-1 return, the Microsoft co-founder and philanthropist says.
- Putting \$10 billion into the S&P 500 would have grown only to \$17 billion over 18 years, factoring in reinvested dividends, Gates tells CNBC in Davos.

RELATE

How benevolent is the B&MG Foundation?

Listen to this interview:



Source:

<https://www.cnbc.com/2019/01/23/bill-gates-turns-10-billion-into-200-billion-worth-of-economic-benefit.html>

[3.5%]

How benevolent is the B&MG Foundation?



GO·Bio

HOME DEUTSCH PRESS PRIVACY SITEMAP



GO-Bio

Other Initiatives

Projects

Events

News

Videos

Service



↑ News

05.09.2019

Bill Gates invests in Mainz

The Mainz-based biotech company BioNTech SE does not lack in prominent investors. The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is now investing \$55 million. And the amount can still grow to \$100 million.



How benevolent is the B&MG Foundation?



Microsoft founder Bill Gates discusses the global fight against the coronavirus

From seeking a vaccine to the idea of 'normality' Bill talks to Charlie about Covid19

12 April 2020
⌚ 17 minutes

This clip is from



Breakfast



Marketing via ARD/Germany, 14 April 2020:

Marketing on BBC, 12 April 2020

BBC: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/p0896rpg>

ARD: <https://de-de.facebook.com/tagesschau/videos/gates-%C3%BCber-corona-impfstoff/2509304459175441/>

How benevolent is the B&MG Foundation?

BBC Sign in Home News Sport Earth Reel Worklife

NEWS

Home | Israel-Gaza war | War in Ukraine | Climate | Video | World | UK | Business | Tech | Science

World | Africa | Asia | Australia | Europe | Latin America | Middle East | US & Canada



Coronavirus: Bill Gates says rich countries must help make vaccine accessible to all

UK/BBC: 15 Sep. 2020

<https://www.bbc.com/news/av/world-54158625>

How benevolent is the B&MG Foundation?

Market Summary > BioNTech SE - ADR

141.16

August 30, 2019

BioNTech SE
An der Goldgrube 12
Mainz, Germany
Attention: [***]

+127.34 (921)

Jan 31, 10:09 AM

Re: Strategic Relationship between the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and BioNTech SE

Ladies and Gentlemen:




Another good investment:

1D | 5D

Purchase:

Aug. 2019
USD 18.10

This letter agreement (including all appendices and attachments hereto, the "Letter Agreement") is entered into in connection with the investment by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (the "Foundation"), a Washington charitable trust that is a tax-exempt private foundation, of fifty-five million dollars (US\$55,000,000.00) (the "Foundation Investment") in ordinary shares of BioNTech SE, a Societas Europaea (the "Company", with such shares being referred to as the "Foundation Shares"), at a purchase price of US\$ 18.10 per share whereby such shares will, on the basis of pertinent contractual arrangements, enjoy certain preferences to be established by an amendment to the shareholders' agreement for the Company in such form as the Foundation and the Company may agree (such shareholders' agreement as so amended the "Amended SHA"). The Foundation is



How benevolent is the B&MG Foundation?

Market Summary > BioNTech SE - ADR

141.16 USD

+127.34 (921.42%) ↑ all time

Jan 31, 10:09 AM EST • Disclaimer



<https://www.dossier.today/p/bill-gates-secured-hundreds-of-millions>



mRNA-Technology is seen as gold standard for the future




The mRNA vaccine technology transfer hub

Quelle:

<https://www.who.int/initiatives/the-mrna-vaccine-technology-transfer-hub>


WHO even wants to introduce mRNA as a new standard



WHO on Messenger RNA technology *(1):

[...] *There are several reasons that the **mRNA platform technology has emerged at the forefront as a vaccine technology**. Among these are the rapid speed at which mRNA candidate vaccines can be constructed and manufactured and the necessity to rapidly develop vaccines against newly emergent pathogens, such as emerging influenza virus strains, Zika virus, and most recently **SARS-CoV-2**, the causative agent of Coronavirus disease (COVID-19). [...]*

(6) How to protect your:



Immediate Steps

HOW TO PROTECT

the SOVEREIGNTY of your country?

(i.e. your democracy; your constitution)

Immediate Steps

1.

Demand from your government to STOP IHR (all WHO)-negotiations, because they are designed to transfer Sovereignty (=the control over the constitutional order) to an external power.

2.

**Demand from your government a proper re-assessment of the Corona-/WHO-crisis: An After-Action-Review;
„NO MORE DENIAL – NO MORE HARM“!**

Immediate Steps

- 3. INFORM and INVOLVE YOUR CONSTITUENCY.**
- 4. Build alliances to protect your democracy together.**
- 5. Reach out to media and to decisionmakers.**
- 6. ...**

WE WILL HELP YOU.

Recommended reading and sources

- **Good variety of material on:** <https://doortofreedom.org> (Dr. Meryl Mass / USA)
- **Dr. Amrei Müller** (Oct. 2023):
Negotiations of International Concern
<https://www.ghr.agency/?p=6760>
- **Dr. Amrei Müller / Dr. Silvia Behrendt** (27.02.2023):
The Proposed Amendments to the International Health Regulations: An Analysis
<https://www.ghr.agency/?p=6760>
- **Simple Introduction to WHO (24 languages):**
<https://www.mehr-wissen.info/?L=>
- **James Roguski, WHO-Expert** pls. contact:
James.Roguski@protonmail.com



Connect

+

RISE

UP!

Am Samstag, 7. Oktober 2023, von 13 – 17 Uhr, am Teuchelweiherplatz Winterthur

Informationsveranstaltung zu WHO

Mit Referenten aus allen Sprachregionen der Schweiz!

7. Oktober 2023

ΕΛΕΥΘΕΡΟΙ ΑΠΟ ΕΛΕΓΧΟ
Μια Ανθρωπότητα Χωρίς Συγκρούσεις
ΔΙΕΘΝΗΣ ΕΚΔΗΛΩΣΗ
7 ΟΚΤΩΒΡΙΟΥ '23
ΑΘΗΝΑ
Μοναστηράκι
Κεντρική Πλατεία
12.00 π.μ.

People of the World unite

Οι Πολίτες ενωμένοι λένε
ΟΧΙ στον ΠΟΥ - ΟΧΙ στις ΕΞΥΠΝΕΣ ΠΟΛΕΙΣ

#freefromcontrol
ΔΗΛΩΣΤΕ ΣΥΜΜΕΤΟΧΗ - WFA ΕΛΛΑΔΑΣ - 6972553045

EVENTO INTERNAZIONALE
7 OTTOBRE 23

Il popolo unito

EVENTO INTERNAZIONALE
7 OTTOBRE 2023
Free from Control
l'Umanità' oltre i conflitti
Donne e Uomini autodeterminati liberi dal potere dell'OMS e fuori dalle SMART CITIES

ENNA - Sala Liola
via Duca d'Aosta, 2
ore 16:00

People of the World Unite

#freefromcontrol

El pueblo unido dice NO a la OMS
Movimiento mundial
Rechazo Enmiendas RSJ

ARGENTINA
AUSTRALIA
BELGIUM
BULGARIA
CANADA
CHINA
CZECH REPUBLIC
DENMARK
FRANCE
GERMANY
GREECE
HUNGARY
INDIA
ITALY
JAPAN
NETHERLANDS
POLAND
PORTUGAL
ROMANIA
RUSSIA
SLOVAKIA
SLOVENIA
SPAIN
UNITED KINGDOM
USA

GRATIS lezing over gezondheid

People of the World Unite

Zaterdag 7 oktober 2023
19u00 in De Pinte

Dr. Arne Flarfaalijn
Medisch dokter (ZG Genee), gespecialiseerd in chronische, infectieuze aandoeningen, oprichter van Bio-Logical Medical Center (BLMC)

Parler Vanderschuuren
Osteopaat, orthomoleculaire fysiotherapie, Levens bloed analyse (SBA)

Adres: "Roosdam" Koning Albertlaan 1 - 8640 De Pinte

#FREEFROMCONTROL

El pueblo del mundo unido dice NO a las RSJ y a la OMS
Evento internacional 7-10-23

CHILE

FREE FROM CONTROL
Humanity beyond Conflicts

EVENTO INTERNAZIONALE
7 OTTOBRE 23

People of the World unite

SANREMO

Il popolo unido dice NO OMS - NO SMART CITIES

#freefromcontrol

PER ADERIRE E SCRIVERE MESSAGGIO WHATSAPP
CRISTIANO 3939968000 FABIOLA 3934614915

*Protect your people,
Protect your children,
Protect your democracy,
against the WHO (UN; WEF; ...)!*

Thank you for your attention!

Philipp Kruse,
Attorney at law, LL.M. (CH)

kruse@kruse-law.ch