

The Strategy to Stop the WHO

By Meryl Nass, MD

Congress

While I have personally briefed about 18 members of Congress, and 54 members of the House have cosponsored AZ Rep. Andy Biggs' bill [HR79](#) to exit and defund the WHO, most members of Congress have no idea about what is going on. The Biggs bill is sitting in limbo with no champion. Maybe that is a good thing because until they are educated, the members might vote to stay in! The members have been handed misleading talking points and boilerplate letters designed to assure their ignorance. Worst of all, they were misled in the single [hearing](#) on the WHO that was held by the Committee on the COVID Response, in January. There were only 3 witnesses who came before the committee, all of whom worked for the administration and all 3 were actively engaged in negotiations and getting the Pandemic Treaty and IHR amendments passed. (Both are considered treaties under international law.)

ASK #1: Educate your lawmakers. A large volume of contacts will help them realize this is the biggest issue before them right now.

[Testimony](#) from Assistant Secretary for Global Affairs at DHHS Ms. Loyce Pace confirmed my assertion that the US is actively bribing 50 countries to go along with its biosecurity agenda, which began during the Obama administration. She said, "*Beyond these ongoing negotiations, since 2015, the United States has been working in partnership with other countries to strengthen critical health security capacities. Thanks to bipartisan budgetary support from Congress, the United States is providing support to over 50 countries globally to strengthen global health security.*"

What was misrepresented by the 3 witnesses (and Tedros [here](#)) was the actual contents of the Pandemic Treaty and IHR amendments and the effort by WHO to transform itself into the global governor of health. [Here](#) is a simple handout that explains the main problems. [Here](#) is another with more detail.

What we need is a **real hearing that clears the air and explains what the treaty and amendments are really about.** In past months NJ Rep. Chris Smith said he would hold a hearing as he is chair of the [perfect subcommittee](#), but instead he held a press conference. TX Rep ChipRoy was to hold a Judiciary hearing (he heads the Constitution subcommittee) on the WHO but there has been little movement on this so far. There are more than [50 other members](#) who have made their position against the WHO known, but who are currently quiet. Here are some ideas for committees that could hold a relevant hearing or perform oversight of the federal plan to adopt the WHO treaty and IHR amendments:

- a. Government Oversight committee, healthcare and financial services subcommittee:
Paul Gosar and Anna Paulin Luna have spoken up against the WHO

b. Same Government Oversight committee, National Security subcommittee (the biowarfare agent proliferation aspect of the treaty and amendments) includes **Andy Biggs, Paul Gosar**

c. **Chris Smith** chairs the [Subcommittee on Global Health, Global Human Rights and International Organizations](#)

d. [House Energy and Commerce's Health subcommittee](#) regulates the DHHS, which is mainly negotiating the WHO treaties. Rep. **Diana Harshbarger** TN sits on this committee.

e. I'm wondering about the House Rules Committee. Can it scrutinize the transfer of sovereignty that the WHO documents would cause to occur? Here is what the [Committee's website](#) says: "In essence, so long as a majority of the House is willing to vote for a special rule, there is little that the Rules Committee cannot do." Reps. Chip Roy TX, Tom Massie KY and Ralph Norman SC sit on this small committee and support us.

Ask #2: Can you work with any of these committee members to try and get a hearing? We will help their staff with questions and witnesses if they need assistance.

Ask #3: We want a bill requiring the Senate advise/consent (or not consent) on the two treaties, and we want Members or Senators to champion this bill: to demand a Senate ratification process for both the treaty and IHR amendments.

States

We seek legislatures or top officials in the states to assert that the WHO (and perhaps the WEF, which inclusion I love) will have no jurisdiction to issues any rules, orders, etc. in the state, based on the Tenth Amendment. State authorities have responsibility for health, not the federal government and certainly not an international organization; nor will any statements or rules from international organizations be used as a cause of action in the state.

We have a model resolution (only for convenience) for legislators [here](#). It is almost identical to the [bill](#) the Louisiana Senate passed 37-0. But we invite states to choose their own bill language.

[Some legislatures are considering bills](#) or resolutions that limit the power of the WHO. Resolutions are weaker than bills, but much easier to get passed. A resolution now, to stand up publicly against the WHO, is sufficient for our needs.

Basically, we need our elected officials to get educated about what is in the WHO treaty and amendments, and then they will be able to act. The more people who contact them about this issue, the more likely it is that they will do something.

Ask #1: Get a resolution or bill in the legislature with at least one legislator who will champion the bill/resolution and gain support for a quick passage. We do not want bills

to be filed but then languish because legislators don't care enough about them to work to gain the needed support.

Ask #2: Get an Attorney General to sign a letter or issue an Opinion about the WHO (and WEF?): that it does not have any jurisdiction in the state. The [AG petition](#) from July 2022 could provide them the bulk of the opinion. Ask the AG to join with other AGs to accomplish this. Several have been asked and fingers crossed they are working behind the scenes to accomplish this. [Here](#) is a model letter. We also have a backgrounder to go with it.

Ask #3: Get a Governor to issue an opinion or, even better, an Executive Order regarding the WHO's (and WEF's?) lack of jurisdiction in the state.

Please represent yourself as an individual and not as a representative of any specific organization. Door to Freedom is a 501c3 and while we can push for policies to be adopted, we are not supposed to push for specific bills to be passed since we are not registered as a lobbying organization.

501c3 organizations may not support specific candidates for office either, although this work is unrelated to elections.

The Sovereignty Coalition is working to make the WHO become a campaign issue.

We anticipate that many other organizations are about to join in this effort.